


einkommune



**Samordning av velferdsteknologi i Meland, Radøy og Lindås.
Kari Eidnes Bjørkheim .**

Meland 04.05.2017



Veien til målet...






Interkommunalt samarbeid VFT

- Dei ulike kommunane sine roller
- Mandat
- Økonomi
- Prosjektplan
- Opplæring
- Kompetanseplanar
- Handlings- og strategiplanar
- Framdriftsplanar
- Pilotering av nytt utstyr
- Fora for erfaringsdeling
- Impelementering
- Responssenter
- Forskingssamarbeid
- Evt.




Døme: Intern organisering Meland






Døme: interkommunal organisering for VFT






Kvifor satsa på endring i heimetenestene?






Framtidsperspektiv





Det store regnestykket. Bærekraft – Det kommunale oppdraget

A. Nettooverføringer fra offentlig forvaltning etter alder i 2010.
1000 kroner



Kilde: Meld. St (2012-2013). Perspektivmeldingen



LINDÅS KOMMUNE

Omsorgspyramiden i Lindås



#90672011

Folkehelse for alle!

Helseframande og forebyggande livsstil for alle.

Helsetrim

Fallforebyggende gruppe

Aktiv omsorg,
omsorgsteknologi,
kvardagsrehabilitering og
tilrettelegging av bustad

Heimesjukepleie / Heimehjelp



© Can Stock Photo - csp30177709

Omsorgsbustadar

Insitusjon

(kort og
lang tid)

EIN BETRE KOMMUNE

LINDÅS
2019



Utfordringer vi måtte ta tak i:

- Organisering
- Behov for økt kompetanse
- Holdninger og skepsis til å ta i bruk teknologi og ta i bruk ny arbeidsmetode?
- Jus
- Etikk
- Forankring i alle ledd



LINDÅS KOMMUNE

Endringsarbeid i Lindås





LINDÅS KOMMUNE

Døme på velferdsteknologi




EIN BETRE KOMMUNE


LINDÅS
2019



Respons på alarmene



Tiltak og verkemiddel for å nå mål



Målsetting og gevinstar





Kortere sykehjemskø med teknologi

KRONIKK: Utrygghet er en hovedårsak til at eldre flytter fra hjemmet sitt og inn på sykehjem. Slik trenger det ikke å være, skriver Mari Berge i denne kronikken.



<http://forskning.no/meninger/kronikk/2016/06/teknologi-gir-eldre-trygghet-til-bo-hjemme>



Telecare – where, when, why and for whom does it work? A realist evaluation of a Norwegian project

Mari S Berge^{1,2}

Abstract

Introduction: Evaluations of telecare demonstrate disparate results, which are of little help for understanding what the users need from further policy and development. This study aims to provide a more nuanced approach to telecare evaluations.

Methods: Realist evaluation is used to scrutinize what it is about telecare that works for whom, why, how and in which circumstances. Sequential interviews were conducted with telecare users and relatives at pre- and post-implementation stages.

Result: Some users experienced the intended effects from telecare, such as increased feeling of safety, whereas others did not. Various contextual elements influenced how people reasoned about the resources provided by telecare and affected the individual outcomes.

Discussion: The desire to remain in own home appeared to be a major driving force in accepting telecare. Users had surprisingly high tolerance to side effects of telecare, which might indicate that much was at stake. Some users disapproved of having telecare due to contextual reasons; however, readjustments proved successful to some.

Conclusion: This study illuminates how and why telecare works differently in different situations, and thus leads to different outcomes. When telecare is correctly adjusted to match the user's needs, abilities and contexts it enables them to feel safe and remain in their own homes.

Keywords


Independent living, older people, realist evaluation, telecare, user perspectives

Date received: 29 July 2016; accepted: 9 January 2017



Oppsummering: Effekt av omsorgsteknologi



Erfaringar tydar på:





LINDÅS KOMMUNE

Økonomiske konsekvensar



EIN BETRE KOMMUNE

LINDÅS
2019



Takk for meg!

ein
kommune



Lindås sjøsluser